



**NMNEC Leadership Council Zoom Meeting
3/29/18
9-11**

Present (voting member)	John Scarbrough, Anita Reinhardt, Sabrina Ezzell, Jenny Landen, Mary Wright, Judy Liesveld, Diane Evans-Prior.
Present (ex-officio member)	Tricia Maule
Present (staff)	
Absent	Becky Dakin, Shawna Kemper, Judith Hurula

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
Changes/additions to the agenda	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove LPN 	No action
Approval of Minutes from 3.2.18	Anita motioned to approve the minutes with changes, John seconded the motion.	Under action take out "Jenny will revitalize the Diversity Committee." In the minutes note that Jenny will be the LC liaison to the Diversity Committee.
Update re: April 6 Statewide meeting	Only 41 are registered for the meeting, and at least 35 are going to the reception per Anita. Jenny offered to possibly use money from the Con Alma grant to fund the November meeting and hold it in Santa Fe.	No action
Update re: April 5 Celebration at Rio Grande Vineyard	Anita noted that 35 people are attending. We will keep the reception as scheduled.	No action
NCLEX pass rates 2017	The Program Evaluation Committee (PEC) reviewed the NCLEX pass rate data and thought that the pass rates looked good. Jenny would like to use the data for an article. Anita may use the data for her presentation at WIN. PEC asked for the aggregate pass rates for the partnered schools and Mary provided the numbers. A total pass rate for all programs was 88%. ADNs were 87%, BSNs were 89%. This data will be shared at the statewide meeting and via social media.	No action
Student Survey Summary review from PEC	PEC looked at student surveys from the 2016-2017 academic year. Over 6 months, they reviewed over 900 surveys focusing on 3 questions, "What did you like about this level?", "What didn't you like about this level?" and "What improvements would you suggest for this level?" The surveys represented all 5 levels. Ninety-nine percent of the feedback applied to individual schools and included faculty teaching styles, cutoff scores for particular schools, but not information that could be generalized.	No action

	<p>Students reported being overwhelmed in Level 2 due to the course load. Luna CC offered information about an option to put pharmacology into the summer which allows students to take it as a continuation of Level 2 from the spring or as a beginning of level 2 with students continuing in the fall. Students reported high levels of stress and anxiety in each level and attributed significant stress to the standardized tests.</p> <p>The survey also showed that students are not aware of the concepts by level, however there are no questions related to concepts on the student survey..</p> <p>In April, PEC will look at creating quantitative questions related to students' understanding of the concepts by level and on meeting the level objectives. Individual schools can continue with their own specific surveys to assess qualitative performance areas.</p> <p>The PEC recommended an orientation prior to starting the program to improve student awareness of program demands.</p> <p>The PEC survey report findings will be presented at the statewide meeting.</p>	
Student Grievance process	<p>At the last face-to-face meeting we looked at a draft of language for the generic MOA. The taskforce at NEAC would like wording in the MOA that shows shared responsibility between the CC and the university.</p> <p>The draft for this section of the MOA was reviewed:</p> <p>Under 4.a. change the first sentence to "If the dual degree student grievance is related to a course taught by the community college, the student will follow the community college grievance policies."</p> <p>Under 4.b. change the first sentence to, "If the dual degree student grievance is related to a course taught at the university, the student will follow the University grievance policies..."</p> <p>There needs to be additional content that includes how to review complaints. The university and cc will need to review the grievance together. Diane is willing to help with the wording on the student grievance portion of the MOA.</p>	Mary to continue to follow this process
Syllabi revisions	<p>Mary has been working on cleaning up the syllabi on the NMNEC website including comparing them to other NMNEC documents to make sure they are in alignment. All syllabi have now been reviewed and edited. There were no content changes, just made the language generic, including the new NMNEC course numbers. Course objectives are mapped to level and program objectives. All revised syllabi will be up on the NMNEC website. All of the syllabi are dated. If they are updated, the date will be changed. The curricular concept map has been updated. It cannot be printed as designed, but can be seen electronically.</p>	Mary to re-post all on the website and will announce at the statewide meeting
Concept revisions	<p>The curriculum committee has worked on 8 concepts. Mary has taken their recommendations and put them into documents with a consistent format. The documents will be presented at the statewide meeting. LC would like the wording that each document has been reviewed by curriculum committee and LC.</p> <p>Jenny would like us to consider publishing this for future use.</p>	Mary will continue this process
Code Names for NMNEC Partnerships	<p>Sasha provided the NMBON NCLEX code names for NMNEC partnerships. It follows the same nomenclature that Sasha discussed with us previously. Sasha will send this out to schools, NMNEC will not. UNM-Valencia is not on the list.</p>	Mary will let Sasha know that UNMV/UNM is not the list.

Jenny	Diane, John and Jenny were on a conference call sponsored by NMNA. NMNA has added special interest groups. Do we need a special interest group representing nursing education? NMNA is asking if we had a story to share to the legislative finance committee? Jenny wrote a memo and will share with Mary and Sabrina. NMNA would like LC on board. Deborah Walker would like to have a special interest committee with NMNEC recommendations. They want to know what our needs are so they can be addressed at the legislative level. They would like more involvement from nurse educators in general. Our recommendations would be presented to the LFC in September. Nursing Enhancement money is going into HB2 so our input would have influence on that discussion. This information needs to be added to the statewide agenda under leadership council updates. LC also clarified for Jenny that the cutoff scores for the HESI A2 are 75 for the composite score and 75 for each subcategory.	This will be shared with the consortium.
Curriculum committee	The BSN co-chair Sue K. would like to resign. LC recommends Laura Vanyo as the new co-chair.	Mary will follow up on this.

Meeting Adjourned at 10:35 am