

Program Evaluation Committee (PEC)

Zoom Meeting
 Date: August 23, 2018
 Time: 4:00-5:00 pm

NMNEC PEC Committee Members					
Diane Evans- Prior CNM	Susan Grohman LCC	Donnie Hayes NMJC	Shawna Kemper SJC	Siri GuruNam Khalsa NMHU	Jenny Landen SFCC
Judy Liesveld Leadership Council Liaison UNM	Jonathan Lumibao UNM-Gallup	Shauna Mangum SJC PEC Co-Chair	Martha Morales NMSU PEC Co-Chair	Vicki Niazi SFCC	Angela Ortiz SFCC
Belinda Rincones NMJC	Donna Winn UNMH Clinical Partner	Jonathan Lumibao UNM-Gallup	Julia Sedillo MSN Grad Student with Mary Wright - Student Guest	Mary Wright NMNEC Outreach Program Manager	Erin Lopez- Trask SFCC Minutes Recorder
Attendance Color- Code Key:	Present	Absent		Excused	
Agenda Item	Discussion			Action	
Changes/additions to the agenda	Meeting began at 1602. No changes to agenda.			Agenda approved.	
Approval of Minutes from 6.28.2018	No revisions or corrections, motion made and seconded to approve minutes.			Approved	
Old Business: NMNEC Program Evaluation Plan/Planning for retention and transfer rate/diversity questions data collection in 2018	Shauna Mangum led review of NMNEC Program Evaluation Plan, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify indicators of what we have to reach NMNEC Program goal; How data is collected and analyzed Timeline for evaluation and reporting to be completed. . 			No action needed re: NMNEC Program Evaluation Plan - information only.	
New Business: Review membership and roles/responsibilities	Data to be collected for the plan includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Looking at first time pass rates and determine if we are meeting objectives. 			Jonathon Lumibao and Shauna Mangum to draft ideas on	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
<p>of NMNEC PEC and Review NMNEC Program Evaluation Plan (topics discussed together)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Looking at retention rates in our ADN & BSN students. • How does this impact accreditation? • Are students transferring? Why are students transferring? Why are they moving? Etc. Are students transferring but staying in program? • What are the challenges for students to stay in program? (At this time Shauna reports that we are unsure of these reasons). • Looking at minorities in rural areas and retention rates. • Data collection discussed and should continue to come up on agenda. • The NMNEC Program Evaluation Plan is key to guiding the work of this committee. <p>Question raised whether committee thinks there is still a NMNEC program evaluation need to collect data on retention and transfer rate/diversity data as per the NMNEC Program Evaluation Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the committee feel this data collection is important to gather? Example would be; Students that identify as diverse are not doing as well and therefore the curriculum may need to change. • Reason for data collection: We don't know if retention is high or low. The committee would like a way to assess retention rates. <p>Committee reaffirmed need to collect data and that a plan on how to collect the data needs to happen. Task force of Jonathon Lumibao and Shauna Mangum will develop of plan on how to collect the data.</p> <p>Membership New membership roster shared:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of committee members is 14 (including Judy Liesveld as ex-officio member/liaison to NMNEC Leadership Council 	<p>how to collect transfer and retention rates/diversity data and report at next PEC meeting.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
	and not including ex-officio member, Mary Wright, NMNEC Outreach Program Manager or Erin Lopez-Trask, minutes recorder).	
Old Business: NMNEC Student Survey Revision	<p>Draft of L1 NMNEC Program Evaluation Student Survey reviewed. Mary Wright and Judy Liesveld shared positive feedback received from NMNEC Leadership Council re: direction the PEC is going with revising the student survey and the plans to focus on survey development rather than analyzing 2017-2108 data from current survey.</p> <p>Mary Wright reported that NMNEC Sim TF has not met so has not yet addressed whether want to include a similar question on simulation.</p> <p>After discussion, committee approved moving forward with same format for L2 and L3 surveys with the following recommendation to change the text blocks after each concept and level objective to a more inclusive statement welcoming all comments, not just from those who disagree. Also need to correct typo in question 8.</p> <p>Will discuss whether L4 and L5 surveys should be different at a future meeting.</p> <p>Goal is to have student surveys ready to pilot in January 2019 for spring 2019 semester/term.</p>	Mary Wright will change the L1 draft by incorporating recommendation and then build the L2 and L3 survey drafts for next PEC meeting.
Meeting Adjourned at 1705		
Next Meeting on September 27, 2018 at 4pm via Zoom.		
Minutes prepared by: Erin Lopez-Trask		