



Simulation Task Force Zoom Meeting January 19, 2018 10-11am

Attendance Color-Code Key:	Present	Absent	Excused
-----------------------------------	----------------	---------------	----------------

NMNEC Simulation Task Force Members					
Adreanne Cordova UNM-A	Robin Fitzpatrick CNM	Susan Grohman LCC	Lorraine Hannah NMJC	Lauren Jerige CCC	Sally Lane SJC
Charnelle Lee WNMU	Jonathan Lumibao UNM-G	Sinyoung McCabe CNM	Mary Moser-Gautreux UNM-V	Karen Jean Odell (Fettig) UNM-T	Evangelina Ramirez NMSU
Herica Torres UNM-A Task Force Chair	Susan Wayne-Skelton NNMC	Mary Wright NMNEC Outreach Program Manager	Debra Serrino UNM-A		

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
-------------	------------	--------

Business start	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting began at 10:05am Approval of minutes from 11.29.17 by Adreanne, second by Jonathan 	Minutes approved
Review and comment on NMNEC Simulation Plan Recommendation Revisions	<p><u>Recommendation #1</u>-not addressed in revision survey because format of this item may be revised after subsequent recommendations</p> <p><u>Recommendation #2-different scenarios</u>: Susan W-ensuring that skill level of each scenario needs to be appropriate for specific level's scope; Mary Wright-spelling out "components" is a nice editorial piece</p> <p><u>Recommendation #3- substituted for clinical</u>: Lorraine-debriefing training obtained in Philadelphia; BON is requiring debriefing training; Mary W-smaller programs might be able to complete simulation competencies within clinical hours in lower levels; Herica-upper levels might be more difficult for all students to obtain; Mary W-drop this statement?</p> <p><u>Recommendation #4-components to share</u>: combine survey comments; Mary W-are we sharing across schools? Shared with faculty across the NMNEC schools</p> <p><u>Recommendation #5-minimum/maximum</u>: Add the "number of simulations" to specify as to min/max units of measurement, add type also</p> <p><u>Recommendation #6-simple to complex</u>: Adreanne/Herica/Debra/Mary W-is this true? Not entirely at UNM-A, NMJC-prescribed simulations but placement was a bit different; Mary W-flexibility is good/ok, but if sims are switched to different levels, students may not have consistency/misses a simulation if student transfers between NMNEC schools; We need to address where simulation scenarios are placed (levels); remove it? Revise it? Lets add transferability and level to level complexity. See revision.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #7- template</u>-if we are going to share them, we should have same template? Agree? A few agree, but what if schools are using a template that works, are we asking schools to transfer into this template? Susan G-NLN template is not used, the suggested revision allows flexibility as long as components are covered; could we change "Standard" to "recommended"? Should we specify the components of templates for compliance? Herica-"The simulation template that NMNEC recommends will contain the following components:....." and can bring to next meeting for approval. MMG-additional revision options "should to may"; add</p>	<p>Final recommendations:</p> <p><u>Recommendation #1</u>-not addressed in revision survey-To be reviewed when levels are addressed</p> <p><u>Recommendation #2</u>- Revise: Scenarios that incorporate all components of simulation plan can be used.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #3</u>- Remove original recommendation; Replace with "Clinical hours can be substituted with simulation activities only if the New Mexico Board of Nursing recommendations on simulation are followed."</p> <p><u>Recommendation #4</u>-Revise: Successful scenarios that include all components of the simulation plan should be shared with all faculty across the NMNEC schools.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #5</u>- Revise: The number and type of simulations listed in the simulation plan are the minimum mandated, not the maximum that may be done.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #6</u>- Revise: The simulations are listed from simple to complex from level to level and should follow the order listed in the simulation plan to ensure transferability across NMNEC schools</p> <p><u>Recommendation #7</u>-Revision to be addressed next meeting.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #8</u>- Student preparation for simulation is necessary. Preparation</p>

	<p><u>Recommendation #8</u>- all accept original</p> <p><u>Recommendation #9- student confidentiality agreements</u>- revision to include policies and procedures as well as confidentiality agreements to be in place and available to students, faculty and staff at each school.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #10- debriefing facilitators</u>- Mary W-does INACSL specify the role required? Herica-No, just training, the title specification in this does make it difficult for all schools if those positions are not available. Attach INACSL standards? Add NMBON requirements-credentials-MSN?</p> <p><u>Recommendation #11-evaluations</u>-what are we evaluating? Students evaluating sim? Faculty evaluating students? Faculty evaluating sims? Evaluation of entire program? We should clarify what we mean-program (simulations, faculty, students) or just students? INACSL has participant standards only and does not specify formative/summative. Can it be both? Mary W-would NMNEC be concerned with which component? Program-did the students learn/obtain curricular objectives/competencies that help them meet outcomes of curriculum; Summative could be student prep, formative comes from the scenario; NMNEC is recommending that each school evaluates students, faculty and simulation scenario using a model of school's discretion; MMG-it is a BON requirement to have an evaluation process to look at outcomes of the simulation</p> <p><u>Recommendation #12-Grading-Additional recommendations?</u></p>	<p>assignments need to be meaningful and assist the students to meet learning objectives of the simulation.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #9</u>- Revise: Simulation policies and procedures, and confidentiality agreements must be in place and available to students, faculty, and staff at each NMNEC school.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #10</u>-Revise: Debriefing of simulation experiences shall meet INACSL standards and NMBON requirements.</p> <p><u>Recommendation #11</u>-Table until next meeting</p> <p><u>Recommendation #12</u>-Table until next meeting</p> <p><u>Additional recommendations?</u> Table until next meeting</p>
MISC additions	NMBON requirement should be added to the document as a whole so that NMBON requirements are addressed throughout the simulation plan.	
Next Zoom Meeting: 2/5/2018 @4:00pm	None	NONE
Meeting adjourned at 11:31am		