



NMNEC Simulation Task Force
Zoom Meeting
Date: November 29, 2017
Time: 2-3pm

NMNEC Simulation Task Force Members					
Adreanne Cordova UNM-A	Robin Fitzpatrick CNM	Susan Grohman LCC	Lorraine Hannah NMJC	Lauren Jerige CCC	Sally Lane SJC
Charnelle Lee WNMU	Jonathan Lumibao UNM-G	Sinyoung McCabe CNM	Mary Moser-Gautreaux UNM-V	Karen Jean Odell UNM-T	Evangelina Ramirez NMSU
Herica Torres UNM-A Task Force Chair	Susan Wayne-Skelton NNMC	Mary Wright NMNEC Outreach Program Manager (ex-officio)			
Attendance Color-Code Key:		Present	Absent	Excused	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
Business start	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting began at 2:00pm Approval of minutes from 10.23.17 by Adreanne, second by Jonathan 	Minutes approved
Review and comment on NMNEC Simulation Plan Survey results	<p>Survey results: (13 responses)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Q1: 11 very familiar and familiar with simulation plan, 1 unfamiliar (not started NMNEC curriculum yet) Q2: 10 are in charge of implementing parts of plan Q3: variable responses, 5 in 2014, 2 in 2015, 2 in 2017, 1 starting in 2018 Q4: Highest level of nursing implemented: 9 state L4 or L5, 4 state L1-3 Q5: Obstacles 54% yes—OB simulations not fully implemented, PTSD scenarios not implemented, difficult to accommodate large cohorts through simulation, prewritten scenarios don't match simulation plan well, limited exemplars, didactic content needed has not been presented Q6: substitute for clinical? 12 YES, 1 NO, ratios? 1:1 mostly done, 1 response 1:3-4 Q7: NLN template usage? 8 Yes, 5 No-maybe guideline needs to be revised in plan Q8: Student prep: 100% yes 	Information shared - No action required

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Q9: Debriefing: 100% yes (Advocacy/Inquiry mostly) • Q10: Simulation Evaluation—unclear question as to whom/what we are evaluating 10 state they are doing some sort of evaluation • Q11: confidentiality agreements for students: 12 yes • Q12: NMBON Regulations/Rules familiarity:12 yes • Q13: feedback/comments: more sim as clinical hours, mentoring other institutions, simulation team uses staff and team to assist in high fidelity, new regulation and benchmarking starting soon • Q14: Institution names <p>Discussion: Mary Wright: interesting to see the consistency amongst all of the schools Karen Jean Odell: We would like to change/do more simulation than is in plan, can we do more? Answer: yes! Plan is minimum and 50% of clinical hours can be utilized by sim BUT need to follow guidelines by BON and simulation study presented (2014) Charnelle Lee: Western did 6 simulations in L3 to reinforce skills and it worked well</p>	
<p>Agree on Plan of Action for future meetings based on TF goals:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review 2014's NMNEC's Simulation Plan recommendations and revise/add recommendations (if needed). To be addressed in meeting 3 TBA. • Determine if new recommendations to the Simulation Plan are needed. To address in meeting 3 • Identify how schools are implementing NMNEC's Simulation Plan (e.g. exemplars used, resources used, what is working/what is not working, etc.). To be addressed in meeting 4 • Find out how close the implementation of simulations for each of the levels is following NMNEC's Simulation Plan (NMNEC's SP). Do a crosswalk of what is being done against the actual NMNEC's SP. To be addressed in meeting 5 • Determine if changes to NMNEC's SP Framework are needed (e.g. exemplar, psychomotor skills, clinical judgment, communication, population, concepts, and competencies). To be addressed in meeting 6 • Determine how schools are following simulation standards (e.g. INACSL). To be addressed in meetings 7 & 8 • Analyze how schools are following BON's guidelines related to simulation. To be addressed in meetings 9 & 10. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Herica to send survey regarding recommendations, what is working and not working for the first points of the NMNEC Simulation Plan (via NMNEC) • Survey results will be addressed in subsequent meetings (meeting 3-5)
<p>Schedule 2018 meetings</p>	<p>2 meetings per month for January and February, dates/times TBD</p>	<p>Doodle poll to be sent from NMNEC</p>
<p>Information to share</p>	<p>Pearls Debriefing Tool Poster (provided by S. Grohman), could be laminated; great tool to utilize, articles associated with poster can be reviewed if you want to learn more about this method of debrief</p>	<p>See document attached to agenda email</p>
<p>Meeting adjourned at 1442</p>		