



NM NURSING EDUCATION CONSORTIUM

Connecting all New Mexicans to High Quality Healthcare

Program Evaluation Committee (PEC)

Date: March 23, 2017

Zoom Meeting

Time: 4:00-5:00 pm

NMNEC PEC Committee Members					
Shannon Allen NMJC	Karen Ball CNM	Diane Evans-Prior CNM	Donnie Hayes NMJC	Shawna Kemper Co-chair SJC	Siri GuruNam Khalsa Co-chair
Judy Liesveld Leadership Council Liaison UNM	Sasha Poole WNMU	Mary Wright NMNEC Outreach Program	Elizabeth Dickson NMNEC Research Assistant		
Attendance Color- Code Key:	Present	Absent		Excused	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
Agenda Review/Updates	Meeting started at 1607. Ended at 1713.	
Review of previous meeting minutes	March 9 th meeting minutes approved with correct of a typo on the minutes. Change Shawn to Shawna.	

	<p>Working on putting the meeting minutes up on the website for transparency. Mary talked with Becky about starting this practice again. Did not need to vote on it.</p> <p>Mary checked with Becky if anyone gave her feedback about the BOK after the statewide meeting. No one had.</p> <p>It would be a daunting task to put the question to the faculty to make a decision about the BOK. A presentation would need to be created to inform faculty about the BOK; to make a decision about how to proceed with the BOK; and how much time would they need to prep themselves about the topic to make a decision. It would be a slow process</p> <p>The slides were shared and a report made at the February statewide meeting.</p> <p>It was decided that the Program Evaluation membership has the expertise to make a recommendation on the BOK.</p> <p>Level 5 Objectives are the Program Outcomes.</p> <p>Is it important to do this process? It is a student's perception of how they are doing.</p> <p>We have proven from a student perspective that the Program curriculum does work.</p> <p>BOK was borrowed from the University of Hawaii. Nothing has been published about the BOK when Mary had done a literature review about this.</p> <p>There was a discussion between the four attending members about whether to keep the BOK and if so in what capacity. There may be other measures that could be used like pass rates, retention, etc.</p> <p>What was the concern to drop it? There are concerns with maintaining Curriculum integrity and if the BOK addresses this question.</p> <p>After a lengthy discussion that the BOK was not looking at the fidelity of curriculum at individual schools. Leave it as a tool if any of the individual</p>	<p>Mary will make a recommendation to Leadership Committee to leave the BOK as a tool for individual schools to use as they want. That NMNEC would no longer collect this data at this time.</p>

	<p>schools want to use to measure their own curriculum and how their students felt about their preparation.</p> <p>Who would collect the data if any new school wants to use this survey? Program Directors will have access codes to look at their own school's data. Each school will manage their own school's data. BOK will be on the website.</p> <p>The Annual Program Evaluation will be a permanent part of each meeting for the committee to refer to.</p> <p>There has been a delay with the BON in posting NCLEX pass rates.</p> <p>Membership meeting update. 6 responses to the survey monkey on days, times and weeks that members would like to meet. Wednesdays are not popular. Occasionally Thursdays and Fridays can be a conflict for Shawna due to travel to Albuquerque for (NEAC) and BON meetings. Siri has conflicts on Tuesday from 2 to 5 until mid- May. Based on the survey responses and discussion between the Sasha, Mary, Shawna and Siri that changing the meeting day and time for the remainder of this semester is not warranted.</p> <p>Due to the lack of response to the inquiry of new members, it was discussed to recommend that schools assign faculty to the committees. We need to have representation from each of the NMNEC schools. How do we move forward with the concern with membership? Mary will bring this to Leadership Committee. Curriculum Committee picked up 2 new members at the February statewide.</p> <p>To the question if NMNEC had a requirement of grading the formative and summative evaluation with a letter grade versus pass/fail. NMNEC has a</p>	<p>It was decided to keep the meeting day and time the same until summer and then review this at that time.</p> <p>Meeting dates: April 13, April 27, May 11 and May 25th.</p> <p>Mary will bring concern about committee membership to Leadership Committee.</p>
--	--	--

	common evaluation tool, it is up to the individual schools on how they utilize the tool, whether it was graded with a grade or as pass/fail. It is not a NMNEC policy to grade the formal/summative evaluation.	
--	---	--

Meeting Adjourned at 5:13 PM