



**NMNEC Leadership Council Zoom Meeting
December 7, 2017
9:00-11:00am**

Present (voting member)	Judy Liesveld, Jenny Landen, Diane Evans-Prior, Sabrina Ezzell, John Scarbrough, Anita Reinhardt
Present (ex-officio member)	Judy Hurula
Present (staff)	Mary Wright, Becky Dakin
Absent	Shawna Kemper, Tricia Maule

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
Changes/additions to the agenda	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting began at 9:05 Quorum of five voting members was present at start of meeting 	No action
Approval of Minutes from 10.26.17 and notes from 11.9.17	No changes	Becky will mark as approved and post both to website
AACN BSN-Prepared Workforce position statement	<p>Mary Mary shared that AACN has created a position statement regarding a BSN-prepared workforce. They have asked for feedback. Judy stated that the position seems to state that AACN prefers a liberal arts degree delivered on the university campus. This could be a concern with accreditation as we address our partners. We want to be sure to emphasize that there are different models to deliver the BSN. Our model works for NM because of our rurality. We should mention the NCSBN research project to measure this.</p> <p>Mary will draft a response and send it to LC for input. Our response is due December 18.</p>	Mary send draft response statement. LC review ASAP upon receipt.
Reporting NCLEX pass rates	<p>Mary Dr. Poole (NMBON) put together a reporting table and asked for LC's input. This table differentiates the co-enrolled cohorts, shows the number of students, and shows the aggregate and de-aggregated data. Jenny likes this as it shows the number of students. John says it is very transparent.</p> <p>Mary will give Dr. Poole this feedback. We realize that there is still an issue with schools that have a very small "N." They either look really good or really bad</p>	Mary will give feedback to Dr. Poole
NMBON reporting on ADN pass rates	Jenny Jenny wanted to ask for consideration by the NMBON for community colleges who are experiencing a shift in their program enrollment numbers. SFCC's enrollment now includes mostly all BSN Co-Enrolled students with very few ADNs. This will greatly affect their NCLEX pass rates. She thinks the above conversation with Dr. Poole will answer this concern.	Mary will share this concern w Dr. Poole
NMNEC LC 2018 Calendar and Stwd Mtngs	<p>Becky LC reviewed the 2018 calendar to determine: 1. LC In-Person Meetings, 2. Statewide Meetings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LC In-Person meetings will change to quarterly from every-other month and will be held on the Friday of the 2nd day of the 	Becky will make these changes to the 2018

	<p>NMBON meeting. The BON will now have their business meeting on Thursday and the disciplinaries on Friday each quarter.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To compensate for having fewer in-person meetings, LC will hold a two-hour phone conference the last phone meeting of each month. The first phone meeting each month will remain at one hour. • The Statewide Meetings will be April 6 in Las Cruces, possibly part of the Educator’s Conf in June, and Nov 2 in Abq. • Funding for the April 6 meeting will come from BON and NCSBN. Funding for Nov 2 will come from SFCC’s Con Alma grant and possibly the NCSBN grant. 	<p>calendar. She will send out meeting invitations through Outlook for the entire year.</p> <p>Becky will note the funding sources for each Statewide Mtng.</p>
Clinical Partner Participation	<p>Judy noted concern about the involvement and participation of our Clinical Partners – even within the LC. She suggests that we involve the clinical partners (Tricia & Judy H) – who have MUCH to offer – in the statewide meeting as presenters in April.</p> <p>Becky mentioned that Michael Shannon had suggested a “Clinical Partner” workshop topic for April. Mary will pursue this as a topic idea with the Curriculum Committee</p>	<p>Mary will work with the Curr Comm to determine the workshop topics for April.</p>
Adding/changing NMNEC concepts	<p>Mary Mary said that the Curriculum Committee wants clarification as to the process of adding/changing a NMNEC concept. What type of approval process is required? After much discussion the LC determined the following that will be noted (for the record) in these minutes and in the NMNEC decision grid that Becky keeps track of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concept change is brought to LC with a request to move forward with development 2. Concept is developed/edited, including the exemplars 3. Edited/new concept and exemplars, fully developed is reviewed by the LC again 4. The suggested new/edited concept is sent to NMNEC Program Directors and also presented at a Statewide Meeting (if probable) for review, voting, and incorporation <p>A change in a concept does not require a substantive curricular change. Mary and Becky will incorporate steps 1-4 into a policy for the Curriculum Committee and post/incorporate where applicable. The LC gave the Curriculum Committee the go-ahead to develop a Genomics Concept if they wish.</p>	<p>Mary will review steps 1-4 for accuracy.</p> <p>Mary will share steps 1-4 w Curr Comm.</p> <p>Mary & Becky will incorporate this into a policy and post where applicable.</p> <p>Mary work with Curr Comm to develop Genomics Concept if warranted</p>
NMBON Taskforce re: Co-enrolled Entity	<p>Diane Diane shared that the BON wants NMNEC to figure this out. There needs to be a joint strategy if there are sanctions. It needs to be fair and equitable. John pointed out that this needs to be delineated in the partnership MOA.</p> <p>Diane will put together a paragraph, suitable for an addendum to the six exiting MOAs. This paragraph will describe this in terms of dealing with all program outcomes for the Co-Enrolled/Partnership entities</p>	<p>Diane will create an addendum and present to the LC for review.</p> <p>Becky, put this on an upcoming agenda</p>

<p>UNM-Taos question re Progression Policy since they admit a cohort every two years</p>	<p>Mary We need to look at the Progression Policy. At UNM-T if a student fails two nursing courses, the student would have to sit out for up to three plus years because they only admit into their nursing program every two years. UNM-T is requesting an exception to the Progression policy to allow them to have the option to readmit UNM-T students who have failed two nursing courses with the next admission cohort even if less than two years since failing at UNM-T. NMNEC LC recognizes the special circumstances related to UNM-T admissions and agree to make an exception to the NMNEC Progression Policy to allow UNM-T to have the option to readmit failed students with next admission cohort, even if less than two years since students failed. This exception is only for UNM-T students who have failed two nursing courses at UNM-T and were not allowed to progress. If a UNM-T student who failed two courses wanted to apply at another NMNEC school, they would have to wait the full two years before applying as per the NMNEC Progression Policy.</p> <p>Question raised whether making this exception would lead to need to change the two year wait that is currently in the NMNEC Progression Policy. This is a question that often comes up, especially from rural schools, some of whom have reported concerns to the NMNEC Outreach Program Manager about the wait not realistic.</p> <p>LC discussed the rationale that students who fail need to take time to correct or mitigate the issues that led to the two failures. Anita shared that prior to the NMNEC Progression Policy, they NEVER readmitted someone if they had two failures.</p> <p>The two-year policy will remain in the NMNEC Progression Policy. No changes will be made to the Progression Policy itself.</p>	<p>Mary will share this decision with Melissa at Taos</p> <p>Becky, note this decision on the NMNEC Decision Grid & place these minutes in the UNM-T Partnership folder</p>
<p>Update: LPN Taskforce</p>	<p>Sabrina The LPN Admission Policy that was presented at the statewide meeting and sent to Program Directors via email has had further discussion. One person suggested that we include cut-offs for the exams. Sabrina is researching this and will check with Tracy Lopez, Shawna McGill, and other NMNEC schools. We also received response to our survey, "Are you interested in an LPN Curriculum" Four schools say "yes."</p>	<p>Add LPN Taskforce update to the January 25 LC agenda</p>
<p>NMNEC by the Numbers</p>	<p>Becky LC reviewed version eleven of this flier. She will email to all LC for a closer look/last review. This needs to be sent to: Tri-Council, Accreditors, AACN, CCNE, AONE, ODAN, APIN with a cover letter. LC suggests we print #100 to have on hand but mostly depend on schools to print there own.</p> <p>Anita asked that this be laid out in Academic Poster size. Becky will ask the graphic designer to do this and will post to the website so anyone can print a poster for their use.</p>	<p>Becky will send to LC for final review.</p> <p>Becky will ask graphic designer to lay out 3'X4'</p> <p>Becky will print #100 once she received final approval.</p>

		Becky will work with Mary to devise cover letter and disseminate
HED Common Course Numbering	<p>Diane Diane shared the final numbering system that will be presented to HED. "NMNC" is the prefix. Clinical courses end in "5." Didactic ends in "0."</p> <p>Diane updated the NMNEC Crosswalk. Becky suggested that we need to date this document. We need to re-post this on the website and re-distribute to Program Directors.</p> <p>Mary said that all of the NMNEC Syllabi will need this new Course Number. She is updating all syllabi – hoping to complete this by Spring – and will incorporate this into her edits.</p> <p>The NMNEC 900#s will need to be dropped.</p>	<p>Diane will give this info to HED.</p> <p>Becky will re-post crosswalk on website and send to Prog Dirs.</p> <p>Becky will include this in the "What's New" monthly email.</p>
NMNEC Admin III	<p>Becky Becky shared that we will not be allowed to hire an Admin III at this time as all funding expires June 30, not allowing enough time for a new hire. However, Becky was told by Dr. Liesveld that Dean Montoya has approved to fund Becky's position starting July 1. So, Becky will be managing NMNEC on her own. She was encouraged to utilize other CON staff to help. She also asked that LC think about lending their own staff to assist, i.e. assign an Admin from another school to always take the minutes for the Curriculum Committee. We want to think about other things we can outsource and assign to other staff in other schools on a regular basis.</p>	Becky – add this to an upcoming agenda to consider staff who can assist.
BSN Prepared Preceptors	<p>John shared that in his recent accreditation visit WNMU got "dinged" for all preceptors for BSN students being BSN-prepared or higher. The board looked at the licensure of all of the nurses in the hospital and said there should be no problem as there were plenty of BSNs. What they didn't consider is that many of those BSNs are in leadership/management positions and NOT floor nurses.</p> <p>Anita concurred that this is a reality in many settings.</p> <p>It was pointed out that CCNE does not require the education level of the preceptor.</p> <p>John said that there is always a BSN on the floor and things are co-signed but this was not sufficient for the BON.</p> <p>Sabrina suggested that the universities need to bring up that this is not a reasonable rule.</p> <p>Anita stated that this involved the nurse practice act.</p> <p>John reminded us that Travel Nurses are all ADNs.</p> <p>Anita said this needs to go to NEAC to show that accreditation rules are different than BON regulation.</p> <p>Diane acknowledged that there is no short-term solution. Each university can show a plan for improvement with this – a 5-yr and 10-yr plan to show process improvement.</p>	Becky, place this on the Jan 25 agenda to continue this discussion
Next Meeting	<p>Cancel Dec 14 phone Conference</p> <p>Next Meeting is Jan 11 Phone Conference</p>	Jan 11 next LC Ph Conf

Meeting Adjourned at 11:00am